

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE                          |                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/464,264   | 12/17/1999      | Russell J. Wilcox    | INK-067                 | 7307             |  |
| 21323  | 7590 03/22/2004 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP<br>HIGH STREET TOWER |                 |                      | DASTOURI, MEHRDAD       |                  |  |
| 125 HIGH ST  | TREET           |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER   |                  |  |
| BOSTON, N  | MA 02110        |                      | 2623                    |                  |  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004 | , 19             |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |  |  | m                   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
|   |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |                     |  |  |  |
|   |   | 09/464,264   | WILCOX ET AL.  |                     |  |  |  |
| Office Action S   | ummary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   | <u>.,</u>           |  |  |  |
|   |   | Mehrdad Dastouri   | 2623   |                     |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply  | f this communication app  | ears on the cover sheet with th  | ie correspondence ad   | dress               |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING DATE OF TH  Extensions of time may be available usefter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailines of the period for reply specified above. If NO period for reply is specified above. Failure to reply within the set or extensions. | IS COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: Index the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: Index of this communication. Is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ive, the maximum statutory period vided period for reply will, by statute than three months after the mailing | Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON' 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS accuse the application to become ABAND of date of this communication, even if timely | be timely filed  days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co | y.<br>ommunication. |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to commu  | nication(s) filed on 23 D   | <u>ecember 2003</u> .  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.   | 2b)⊠ This   | action is non-final.   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| •   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pe  | 4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are re  | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are su  | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is obj   | ected to by the Examine   | er.  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c)   |   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 s have been received.  | 9(a)-(d) or (f).   |                     |  |  |  |
| = '   | ·   | s have been received in Appli  | cation No  |                     |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the ce   | ertified copies of the prio   | rity documents have been rec   | eived in this National   | Stage               |  |  |  |
| application from  | the International Burea   | u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| * See the attached details  | ed Office action for a list   | of the certified copies not rec  | eived.   |                     |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-  | .RQ2)   | 4) Interview Sumr  | nary (PTO-413)   |                     |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D  |   | Paper No(s)/Ma   | ail Date   |                     |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |   | 5)  Notice of Inform 6)  Other:  | nal Patent Application (PTC  | O-152)              |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Reopening of Prosecution

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on October 3, 2002, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new grounds of rejection set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 6-13, 16-20, 23-27, 29, 30, 40-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hasegawa (U.S. 5,055,662).

As per Claim 1, Hasegawa teaches an object having an associated authentication marker comprising:

an object having a first surface (Figure 3, Element 11 or Element 14);

Art Unit: 2623

an authentication marker disposed on said first surface of said object (Figures 1, 7A, 7D and 7E; Column 4, Lines 39-43. Balance of the card, i.e., "¥ 1230", is a mark that authenticates (proves the authenticity or actuality) of the remaining balance.); said authentication marker comprising:

an electrophoretic display medium (Figure 1, Regions 2a and 2b) having a display state (Column 3,Lines 16-47), a first surface (Figure 3, Element 11), a second surface (Figure 3, Element 14 or Element 11); and a plurality of electrophoretic particles disposed between said first and second surfaces (Figure 3, liquid crystal layer 12); and a first electrode disposed adjacent said first surface of said electrophoretic

display (Figure 3, Electrode plate 11 having terminals 16a);

wherein said display state changes as result of movement by said electrophoretic particles in response to an electric field applied through said first electrode and to said display medium (Column 3, Lines 16-47)

As per Claims 25, 26, 30 and 43-46, repeat substantially the same limitations as Claim 1 above and analogous remarks apply.

As per Claim 2, Hasegawa teaches:

a second electrode disposed adjacent to said second surface of said electrophoretic display medium (Figure 3, Element 14).

As per Claim 6, Hasegawa teaches

wherein said electrical signal comprises an electrical field applied between said first and second electrodes (Figure 3; Column 3, Lines 16-47).

Art Unit: 2623

As per Claims 7 and 8, they recite substantially the same limitations as Claims 3 and 4 above and analogous remarks apply.

As per Claims 9, 29 and 42, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein said electrophoretic display medium comprises at least one microencapsulated electrophoretic particle (Figure 3, Layer 12. Liquid crystal molecules are electrophoretic particles suspended in a fluid and move under the action of an electromotive force.).

As per Claim 10, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein said display state is an optical property. A display state is an inherently optical property (Column 3, Lines 16-29).

As per Claim 11, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein said change in said display state comprises a change to a substantially transparent optical property (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 16-47).

As per Claim 12, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein said change in said display state comprises a change to a substantially opaque optical property (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 16-47).

As per Claim 13, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein the display state is an impedance (Figure 5; Column 3, Lines 48-55)).

As per Claim 16, Sheridan teaches:

wherein said electrophoretic display medium is disposed on said first electrode (Figure 3, Elements 11 or 14)

As per Claim 17, Hasegawa teaches:

Art Unit: 2623

wherein said first electrode is a conductive substrate (Figure 3, Element 14).

As per Claim 18, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein said object is selected from the group consisting of currency, stock certificates, bond certificates, negotiable instruments, debit cards, credit cards (Column 1, Lines 8-9).

As per Claim 19, Sheridan teaches:

wherein the authentication marker is affixed to said first surface of said object (Figure 3, Element 11 is the first surface and the authentication marker is affixed to this).

As per Claim 20, Hasegawa teaches:

a second electrode adapted to interact with said authentication marker wherein said display state changes in response to an electrical signal communicated between said first electrode and said second electrode (Column 3, Lines 16-47).

As per Claims 23 and 40, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein said second electrode is in communication with a validation machine (Column 4, Lines 39-59).

As per Claims 24 and 41, Hasegawa teaches:

a timer in communication with said authentication marker (Column 4, Lines 39-59).

As per Claims 27 and 31, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein said message is text (Figure 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/464,264 Page 6

Art Unit: 2623

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-5, 14, 15, 21, 22, 28 and 31-39 are rejected under 35 V.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa in view of Ota (U.S. 3,668,106).

As per Claims 3 and 31, Hasegawa does not specifically disclose the object of Claim 2 wherein at least one of said first electrode and said second electrode is disposed in a pattern forming text

Ota teaches an electrophoretic display device wherein at least one of said first electrode and said second electrode is disposed in a pattern forming text ("E", Figure 5, Element 23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to display some form of text message, such as "OK", "Valid User", or "Mr. Jones' Card" when the user is authenticated and the authentication signal is transmitted to the display of Hasegawa.

As per Claims 4, 28 and 32, Ota teaches:

wherein at least one of said first electrode and said second electrode is disposed in a pattern forming an image (an "E" is an image, Column 5,Lines 33-40, but Ota goes on to teach that smaller picture Elements can be found (Column 6, Lines 25-32), and it is obvious that a variety of images can be made with picture Elements).

Art Unit: 2623

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to display some form of image or icon as taught by Ota when the user is authenticated and the authentication signal is transmitted to the display of Hasegawa.

As per Claim 5, Hasegawa does not specifically teach transparent electrodes, Ota teaches:

wherein at least one of said first and second electrode is substantially clear (transparent, Column 5, Lines 14-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the suggestion of Ota to create a system that reveals obscured information via a transparent wall that can be used as an electrode in the authentication display of Hasegawa by using the well known principles taught by Ota.

As per Claim 14, Hasegawa does not specifically teach that their displays reveal or obscure the display, however, Ota teaches:

wherein said change in said display state comprises a change to reveal text obscured by said electrophoretic display medium (Column 3, Lines 8-17 and Column 5, Lines 5-12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the suggestion of Ota to create a system that reveals obscured information in the authentication display of Hasegawa by using the well known principles taught by Ota so as to create changeable displays.

As per Claim 15, Hasegawa does not specifically teach that their displays reveal or obscure the display, however, Ota teaches:

Art Unit: 2623

wherein said change in said display state comprises a change to reveal an image obscured by said electrophoretic display medium (Column 3, Lines 8-17 and Column 5, Lines 5-12).

As per Claims 21 and 38, Ota teaches:

wherein said second electrode is an electrostatic head (Figure 8A, Element 30).

As per Claims 22, 33 and 39, Ota teaches

wherein said second electrode is a charged stylus (col. 6, 11. 70-75).

As per Claim 33, Ota does not specifically teach that he uses conductive ink, however, his electrophoretic material is the functional equivalent of conductive ink (Column 3, Lines 17-25).

As per Claim 34, Ota teaches:

wherein at least on of said first and second electrical signals comprises an electrical field applied between said first electrode and said conductive ink (Column 3, Lines 17-25, the electrophoretic materials are drawn to one electrode and thereby the electric field is applied to the particles via the other electrode.)

As per Claim 35, Ota teaches:

a second electrode disposed adjacent said substrate (Figure lb, Element 8 or 9) and adjacent said electrophoretic display medium.

As per Claim 36, Ota teaches:

wherein at least one of said first electrical signal and said second electrical signal comprises an electrical field applied between said first electrode and said second electrode (Figure 1a, Elements 10 and 11).

Art Unit: 2623

As per Claim 37, Ota teaches:

a second electrode adapted to interact with said electrophoretic display medium

(Figure 8A, Element 30).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mehrdad Dastouri whose telephone number is (703)

305-2438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m.

to 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mehrdad Dastouri Primary examiner Art Unit 2623 March 18, 2004 MEHRDAD DÂSTOURÎ PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mehdad Dastom.

Page 9